Ford[tford@santamonicabay.org] From: Yelensky, Erica Sent: Fri 7/28/2017 10:51:31 PM Subject: RE: BRP timeline- change request Hi Guangyu, Thanks for getting back to me and for the additional information. I have more questions about the review, but I don't have time to put them together right now. I will send you a follow-up email when I'm back in town on August 14. Thanks again, Erica From: Wang, Guangyu@Waterboards [mailto:Guangyu.Wang@waterboards.ca.gov] Sent: Friday, July 28, 2017 3:46 PM To: Yelensky, Erica <Yelensky.Erica@epa.gov>; Tom Ford <tford@santamonicabay.org> Subject: Re: BRP timeline- change request Hi Erica, Thank you for your feedback on the timeline. Please see attached for the revised

Wang, Guangyu@Waterboards[Guangyu.Wang@waterboards.ca.gov]; Tom

To:

right.

The graphic you made to demonstrate the EPA's approval process is very helpful and I suggest that you include in the presentation that you are preparing for the August GB meeting. With regards to your question #1, right now we do envision the review of the June 2018 draft BRP be done mainly by the GB and EPA because if things go as planned, at that point we should have received and incorporated all significant

schedule with the addition of EPA's role as you suggested and let me know if I got it

comments/suggestions from the TAC and WAC. Of course we will not disallow any last-minute comments, and we anticipate the deadline for commenting will be sometime around early July, 2018.

With regards to your question #2, I personally think EPA can start to review the draft right after we made presentation to the GB at the June 2018 meeting. Similar to my answer to question #1 above, if things go as planned, we anticipate the draft version presented at the June meeting will be very close to the final. In case we receive significant comments and determine that major change is needed, we will inform and consult with you right away. Of course this could be just my wishful thinking and may not work with what EPA requires or prefer. So let us know what you think and we can adjust/revise the schedule accordingly.

With regards to question #3, based on my responses above I do not see the need to flesh out the schedule for that time period with another document at this time because we see only two major parties (EPA and GB) will be mainly involved in the review process. But we are open to flesh out in more detail with you to benefit both of us.

I hope my answers are on target and helpful. Feel free to contact me anytime if you want to further discuss.

## Guangyu

From: Yelensky, Erica < Yelensky. Erica@epa.gov >

Sent: Thursday, July 27, 2017 3:40:34 PM
To: Wang, Guangyu@Waterboards; Tom Ford

Subject: BRP timeline- change request

Hi Tom and Guangyu,

Thanks for talking yesterday. The schedule you put together is really helpful. I attempted to edit the document directly, but had some trouble with the boxes.

Can you make these changes?

1.	I added in EPA's role. Can you format it so it makes sense.
2.	Add EPA to the list of acronyms box
Ques	stions:
	Will SMBRC (GB, TAC, WAC) (or should this just be the GB and not the TAC and WAC as?) and EPA have the opportunity to review and comment on the draft you share in June? If when are those comments due?
2. revie	Then once the comments are addressed, when will EPA and have an opportunity to we the updated version before it's approved by the governing board?
	It may be helpful to flesh out the June – August timeline in more detail (maybe on another iment) so everyone understands what their roles are and if this is enough time to meet the Illines you have put forth.
	only-Below is a graphic I made to understand EPA's approval process based on the CCMP ent checklist and guidance.
Than	nks again,
Erica	a
415-	972-3021

EPA R9&HQ review draft BRP concurrently w/ GB NEP incorporate s GB and EPA R9 & HQ comments

EPA and GB review draft final BRP R9 shares final draft w/HQ-determines whether BRP meets EPA guidelines. May provide additional comments to SMBNEP

R9 & coord or (Erica m&Vi agree revision meets EPA guide